

The Annual Audit Letter for West Somerset District Council

Year ended 31 March 2019

20 August 2019



Contents



Your key Grant Thornton team members are:

Geraldine Daly

Key Audit Partner

T: 0117 305 7741 E: geri.n.daly@uk.gt.com

Aditi Chandramouli

Assistant Manager

T: 0117 305 7643

E: Aditi.Chandramouli@uk.gt.com

Stessy Juganaikloo

Associate

T: 0117 305 7657

E: Stessy.Juganaikloo@uk.gt.com

Section		Page
1.	Executive Summary	3
2.	Audit of the Financial Statements	5
3.	Value for Money conclusion	10

Appendices

- A Reports issued and fees
- B Adding value in local government

Executive Summary

Purpose

Our Annual Audit Letter (Letter) summarises the key findings arising from the work that we have carried out at West Somerset District Council (the Council) for the year ended 31 March 2019.

This Letter is intended to provide a commentary on the results of our work to the Council and external stakeholders, and to highlight issues that we wish to draw to the attention of the public. In preparing this Letter, we have followed the National Audit Office (NAO)'s Code of Audit Practice and Auditor Guidance Note (AGN) 07 – 'Auditor Reporting'. We reported the detailed findings from our audit work to the Council's Audit, Governance and Standards Committee as those charged with governance in our Audit Findings Report on 31 July 2019

Respective responsibilities

We have carried out our audit in accordance with the NAO's Code of Audit Practice, which reflects the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 (the Act). Our key responsibilities are to:

- give an opinion on the Council financial statements (section two)
- assess the Council's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources (the value for money conclusion) (section three).

In our audit of the Council's financial statements, we comply with International Standards on Auditing (UK) (ISAs) and other guidance issued by the NAO.

Our work

Materiality	We determined materiality for the audit of the Council's financial statements to be £370k, which is 1.7% of the Council's gross revenue expenditure.
Financial Statements opinion	We gave an unqualified opinion on the Council's financial statements on 02 August 2019
	We included an emphasis of matter paragraph in our report on the Council's financial statements which explains the impact of the planned dissolution of the Council and the transfer of its services to Somerset West and Taunton Council. This does not affect our opinion that the statements give a true and fair view of the Council's financial position and its income and expenditure for the year.
Whole of Government Accounts (WGA)	We completed work on the Council's consolidation return following guidance issued by the NAO.
Use of statutory powers	We did not identify any matters which required us to exercise our additional statutory powers.
Value for Money arrangements	We were satisfied that the Council put in place proper arrangements to ensure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. We reflected this in our audit report to the Council on 02 August 2019
Certification of Grants	We also carry out work to certify the Council's Housing Benefit subsidy claim on behalf of the Department for Work and Pensions. Our work on this claim is not yet complete and will be finalised by 30 November 2019. We will report the results of this work to the Audit, Governance and Standards Committee separately.

Executive Summary

Certificate

We are unable to certify that we have completed the audit of the financial statements of West Somerset District Council until we have completed our consideration of matters brought to our attention. We are satisfied that these matters do not have a material effect on the financial statements or on our conclusion on the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2019.

Working with the Council

During the year we have delivered a number of successful outcomes with you:

- Understanding your operational health through the value for money conclusion we provided you with assurance on your operational effectiveness.
- Sharing our insight we provided regular audit committee updates covering best practice. We also shared our thought leadership reports
- Providing training we provided your teams with training on financial statements and annual reporting

We would like to record our appreciation for the assistance and co-operation provided to us during our audit by the Council's staff.

Grant Thornton UK LLP August 2019

Our audit approach

Materiality

In our audit of the Council's financial statements, we use the concept of materiality to determine the nature, timing and extent of our work, and in evaluating the results of our work. We define materiality as the size of the misstatement in the financial statements that would lead a reasonably knowledgeable person to change or influence their economic decisions.

We determined materiality for the audit of the Council's financial statements to be £370k, which is 1.7% of the Council's gross revenue expenditure. We used this benchmark as, in our view, users of the Council's financial statements are most interested in where the Council has spent its revenue in the year.

We also set a lower level of specific materiality for senior officer remuneration of £26k

We set a lower threshold of £19k, above which we reported errors to the Audit, Governance and Standards Committee in our Audit Findings Report.

The scope of our audit

Our audit involves obtaining sufficient evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements to give reasonable assurance that they are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or error. This includes assessing whether:

- the accounting policies are appropriate, have been consistently applied and adequately disclosed;
- the significant accounting estimates made by management are reasonable; and
- the overall presentation of the financial statements gives a true and fair view.

We also read the remainder of the financial statements, the Narrative Report, and the Annual Governance Statement published alongside the financial statements to check it is consistent with our understanding of the Council and with the financial statements included in the Annual Report on which we gave our opinion.

We carry out our audit in accordance with ISAs (UK) and the NAO Code of Audit Practice. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Our audit approach was based on a thorough understanding of the Council's business and is risk based.

We identified key risks and set out overleaf the work we performed in response to these risks and the results of this work.

Significant Audit Risks

These are the significant risks which had the greatest impact on our overall strategy and where we focused more of our work.

Risks identified in our audit plan	How we responded to the risk	Findings and conclusions
Management override of controls Under ISA (UK) 240 there is a non-rebuttable presumed risk that the risk of management over-ride of controls is present in all entities. We therefore identified management override of control, in particular journals, management estimates and transactions outside the course of business as a significant risk, which was one of the most significant assessed risks of material misstatement	 As part of our audit work we have: Evaluated the business processes and design effectiveness of management controls over journals Analysed the journals listing and determined the criteria for selecting high risk unusual journals Undertaken an exercise to ensure the completeness of the journals listing Undertaken risk based scoring, and testing of unusual journals recorded during the year and after the draft accounts stage for appropriateness and corroboration Gained an understanding of the accounting estimates and critical judgments made by management and considering their reasonableness Evaluated the rationale for any changes in accounting policies, estimates or significant unusual transactions 	Our audit work did not identify any issues in respect of management override of controls.
Accounting for redundancies Due to the significant transformation project being undertaken this year, it has been identified that there will be significant redundancies incurred. As all employees are employed by Taunton Deane, the redundancies will be recharged to West Somerset at an appropriate percentage for each individual. This presents a risk in terms of the calculation of the redundancies and the disclosure of them in the accounts. Therefore, we have highlighted this as a risk to the audit.	 As part of our audit work we have: Reviewed the general business processes and evaluated the controls around accounting for redundancy payments Review of a sample of redundancy costs and pension strain payments to check the accuracy of the calculation of and accounting for the redundancy costs, to ensure that amounts are accurate, and the basis for re-charging these between Councils is appropriate Review of the disclosures of redundancy costs in the financial statements to ensure that they have been correctly included 	Our audit work did not identify any issues in respect of the accuracy of redundancy payments

Significant Audit Risks - continued

These are the risks which had the greatest impact on our overall strategy and where we focused more of our work.

Risks identified in our audit plan	How we responded to the risk	Findings and conclusions
Valuation of land and buildings The Authority revalues its land and buildings on an annual basis to ensure that the carrying value is not materially different from the current value or fair value (for surplus assets) at the financial statements date. This valuation represents a significant estimate by management in the financial statements due to the size of the numbers involved and the sensitivity of this estimate to changes in key assumptions. We therefore identified valuation of land and buildings, particularly revaluations and impairments, as a significant risk, which was one of the most significant assessed risks of material misstatement, and a key audit matter	 As part of our audit work we have: evaluated management's processes and assumptions for the calculation of the estimate, the instructions issued to the valuation experts and the scope of their work; evaluated the competence, capabilities and objectivity of the valuation expert; written to the valuer to confirm the basis on which the valuations were carried out; Challenged the information and assumptions used by the valuer to assess completeness and consistency with our understanding Tested a sample of revaluations made during the year to see if they have been input correctly into the Authority's asset register Evaluated the assumptions made by management for those assets nor revalued during the year and how management has satisfied themselves that these are not materially different to the current value at year end Challenged the assumptions on assets held for sale Reviewed the prior period adjustment necessary for the land classified as held for sale 	We identified an asset held for sale that should have been classified as land in 2017-18 and 2018-19. A prior period adjustment has been made to reflect this re-classification. We reviewed the valuer's assumptions, and accounting treatment of the prior period adjustment, and were satisfied with the approach.

Significant Audit Risks - continued

These are the risks which had the greatest impact on our overall strategy and where we focused more of our work.

Risks identified in our audit plan	How we responded to the risk	Findings and conclusions
Valuation of the pension fund net liability The Authority's pension fund net liability, as reflected in its balance sheet as the net defined benefit liability, represents a significant estimate in the financial statements The pension fund net liability is considered a significant estimate due to the size of the numbers involved and the sensitivity of the estimate to changes in key assumptions. We therefore identified valuation of the Authority's pension fund net liability as a significant risk, which was one of the most significant assessed risks of material misstatement, and a key audit matter.	 Updated our understanding of the processes and controls put in place by management to ensure that the Authority's pension fund net liability is not materially misstated and evaluated the design of the associated controls; Evaluated the instructions issued by management to their management expert (an actuary) for this estimate and the scope of the actuary's work; Assessed the competence, capabilities and objectivity of the actuary who carried out the Council's pension fund valuation; Tested the consistency of the pension fund asset and liability and disclosures in the notes to the core financial statements with the actuarial report from the actuary Assessed the accuracy and completeness of the information provided by the Council to the actuary to estimate the liability; Undertaken procedures to confirm the reasonableness of the actuarial assumptions made by reviewing the report of the consulting actuary and performing any additional procedures suggested within the report; and Obtained assurances from the auditor of Somerset Pension Fund as to the controls surrounding the validity and accuracy of the membership data; contributions data and benefits data sent to the actuary by the pension fund and the fund assets valuation in the pension fund financial statements. 	Our audit work did not identify any issues in respect of the valuation of the pension fund net liability

Audit opinion

We gave an unqualified opinion on the Council's financial statements on 02 August 2019.

Preparation of the financial statements

The Council presented us with draft financial statements in accordance with the national deadline, and provided a good set of working papers to support them. The finance team responded promptly and efficiently to our queries during the course of the audit.

Issues arising from the audit of the financial statements

We reported the key issues from our audit to the Council's Audit, Governance and Standards Committee on 31 July 2019.

In addition to the key audit risks reported above, we identified that the calculation of the provision for Council Tax and NNDR bad debt were not based on specific factors. We recommended that the Council review its bad debt provision calculations going forward.

Annual Governance Statement and Narrative Report

We are required to review the Council's Annual Governance Statement and Narrative Report. It published them on its website alongside the Statement of Accounts in line with the national deadlines.

Both documents were prepared in line with the CIPFA Code and relevant supporting guidance. We confirmed that both documents were consistent with the financial statements prepared by the Council and with our knowledge of the Council.

Whole of Government Accounts (WGA)

We carried out work on the Council's Data Collection Tool in line with instructions provided by the NAO. We issued an assurance statement which confirmed the Council was below the audit threshold on 02 August 2019.

Certificate of closure of the audit

We are unable to certify that we have completed the audit of the financial statements of West Somerset District Council until we have completed our consideration of matters brought to our attention. We are satisfied that these matters do not have a material effect on the financial statements or on our conclusion on the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2019.

Value for Money conclusion

Background

We carried out our review in accordance with the NAO Code of Audit Practice, following the guidance issued by the NAO in November 2017 which specified the criterion for auditors to evaluate:

In all significant respects, the audited body takes properly informed decisions and deploys resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people.

Key findings

Our first step in carrying out our work was to perform a risk assessment and identify the risks where we concentrated our work.

The risks we identified and the work we performed are set out overleaf.

As part of our Audit Findings report agreed with the Council in July 2019, we agreed a recommendation to address our findings:

 The Council should continue to monitor the transformation costs finances as any further increases could impact the medium term financial planning

Overall Value for Money conclusion

We are satisfied that in all significant respects the Council put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ending 31 March 2019.

Value for Money conclusion

Value for Money Risks

Risks identified in our audit How we responded to the risk **Findings and conclusions** plan **Medium Term Financial position** We reviewed the Council's Medium Term Financial Plan, including the assumptions and **Auditor view** including Transformation savings included within the modelling. We also considered the work being done by the Whilst significant pressures remain we Council to identify the additional savings that it needs to make over this period. The Council's We reported in our audit plan that concluded that, overall, the Council has outturn for 2018/19 was £251k below budget, which was transferred to general reserves. At the Council continues to face demonstrated it has appropriate 31 March 2019 the Council has reserves of £5.029m, with £4.048m being in earmarked financial pressures with the 4 year arrangements in place for sustainable reserves and £981k in the general reserve. settlement for 2016/17 to 2019/20 resource deployment. The Council should The Council set a balanced budget for the 2019/20 financial year, with a harmonised Council continue to monitor the transformation costs resulting in a significant grant cut. The new Somerset West and tax rate. This results in a Band D increase of £5 on the Taunton Deane rate, and a £2.32 finances as any further increases could Taunton Council has set a increase on the West Somerset rate. Budgeted savings within this were £3.5m as a result of impact the medium term financial planning balanced budget for the 2019/20 the transformation programme. It was identified in December 2018 that the overall costs for Management response transformation are now estimated to exceed the original High Level Business Case estimates financial year, with an indicative The Council has robust budgeting cumulative surplus of £787k due to by £2,387,000, for which West Somerset's share is £1,880,000, however the revised arrangements in place and recognises a Business Case increases the savings from £3,100,000 to £3,500,000 per annum. The be achieved by the end of number of financial planning risks. 2023/24. However the Councils' Council should continue to monitor the transformation programme finances as any further Management views the realisation of benefits increases could impact the medium term financial planning annual budget report highlights from transformation as critical to its that future funding is uncertain. The additional costs mostly come from the average cost of redundancy not from higher sustainable financial position. The MTFP position includes the numbers of redundancy. In 2016, the Council predicted the former to be £25,000 when in projected savings arising through reality it has proven to be £34,000. This can be for a range of reasons the most likely being the implementation of the the age profile and length of service of the people involved. On reflection The Council states **Transformation Business Case** that they should have included a range for the redundancy estimate stress testing the and formation of the new Council. Business Case to the pay back of three years which they remain within. Without these savings, the The current Somerset West and Taunton MTFP runs to 2023/24 and is based on detailed forecasted budget gap would be a modelling assumptions. These include inflation, pay and contract increases as well as deficit of £2.057m per year by anticipated reductions in grant funding, including the four year funding settlement accepted 2023/24. by the Council. These assumptions have been reviewed and appear to be reasonable based on the evidence and information currently available. The MTFP is updated regularly as information on grant settlements becomes available, outcomes from savings strategy are identified and any new cost pressures identified.

Value for Money conclusion (continued)

Value for Money Risks

value for Money Risks		
Risks identified in our audit plan	How we responded to the risk	Findings and conclusions
Transformation programme and service delivery We reported in our audit plan that we would undertake procedures to understand the Council's mechanisms for identifying, monitoring and reporting any operational service delivery issues arising from the transformation programme, especially when the programme reaches its peak in the last quarter of 2018-19. As part of our value for money risk assessment, we have considered the high level business case, and identified that given the level of redundancies and other service disruption, we will consider the detail behind the monitoring of the transformation programme, and identify whether appropriate governance policies and procedures have been followed throughout.	 In line with agreed reporting arrangements for programme governance, an update report was taken to the Shadow Council's Scrutiny Committee on the 26th of November, and then to Full Council for both Councils on 11th and 12th December 2018. The report showed that cost is off target, and resource is at risk. The Councils requested an update to the budget for the transformation programme of £2.387m, of which Taunton Deane's share is £1.88m, and West Somerset's share is £507k. The updated Business Case provided a payback period, at 2.7 years, which is below the three year good practice benchmark the Council has used for this programme. The additional budget was approved by members. A second progress report on the transformation programme was taken to Shadow Scrutiny Committee on 14th January 2019. This agenda item highlighted several queries and concerns from members. Members suggested that officers needed to manage the customer's expectations and distribute communications properly and in a timely manner, and the Programme Sponsor agreed and they had already started work on communications. Concern was raised in the 'dip' in service levels during the delivery of the Transformation Project. The Programme Director confirmed that was to be expected and that Members had been advised that service levels might be reduced as a result of the recruitment process. These points, included within the public minutes show a reasonable level of scrutiny with regards to the transformation programme, and also reflects the level of engagement and discussion around salient points of the programme. We have held discussions with officers at the Council responsible for managing service delivery, and it was identified that whilst overall the main operational elements of the transformation had been delivered in accordance with the timeline, there were some lessons learnt for both Councils as part of the programme, the main lesson of which involves staggering implementation. Overall, we have identified that	Auditor view Overall we were satisfied that the Council's governance procedures with regards to the transformation programme were robust. Some issues with service delivery were identified, as communicated to members, and the Council have identified lessons to be learnt from this going forward. Management response The Council has managed a lengthy period of significant and rapid change, and will learn from successes and challenges faced

A. Reports issued and fees

We confirm below our final reports issued and fees charged for the audit and provision of non-audit services.

Reports issued

Report	Date issued
Audit Plan	17 December 2018
Audit Findings Report	31 July 2019
Annual Audit Letter	20 August 2019

Fees

	Planned .	Planned Actual fees 2017/18 fees	
Statutory audit	32,744	32,744	42,525
Additional Audit Fee		4,500	0
Total fees	32,744	37,244	42,525

Fees for non-audit services

Service	Fees £
Audit related services - Housing Benefit Grant Certification	11,091
Non-Audit related services - None	Nil

Audit fee variation

As outlined in our audit plan, the 2018-19 scale fee published by PSAA of £32,744 assumes that the scope of the audit does not significantly change. There are a number of areas where the scope of the audit has changed, which has led to additional work. These are set out in the following table.

Area	Reason	Fee proposed
Assessing the impact of the McCloud ruling	The Government's transitional arrangements for pensions were ruled discriminatory by the Court of Appeal last December. The Supreme Court refused the Government's application for permission to appeal this ruling. As part of our audit we have reviewed the revised actuarial assessment of the impact on the financial statements along with any audit reporting requirements.	1,500
Pensions – IAS 19	The Financial Reporting Council has highlighted that the quality of work by audit firms in respect of IAS 19 needs to improve across local government audits. Accordingly, we have increased the level of scope and coverage in respect of IAS 19 this year to reflect this.	1,500
PPE Valuation – work of experts	As above, the Financial Reporting Council has highlighted that auditors need to improve the quality of work on PPE valuations across the sector. We have increased the volume and scope of our audit work to reflect this.	1,500
Total		4,500

Fee variations are subject to PSAA approval.

Our commitment to our local government clients

- Senior level investment
- Local presence enhancing our responsiveness, agility and flexibility.
- High quality audit delivery
- Collaborative working across the public sector
- Wider connections across the public sector economy, including with health and other local government bodies
- Investment in Social Value and the Vibrant Economy
- Sharing of best practice and our thought leadership.
- Invitations to training events locally and regionally bespoke training for emerging issues
- Further investment in data analytics and informatics to keep our knowledge of the areas up to date and to assist in designing a fully tailored audit approach



I have found Grant Thornton to be very impressive.....they bring a real understanding of the area. Their insights and support are excellent. They are responsive, pragmatic and, through their relationship and the quality of their work, support us in moving forward through increasingly challenging times. I wouldn t hesitate to work with them.

Director of Finance, County Council



Our relationship with our clients— why are we best placed?

- We work closely with our clients to ensure that we understand their financial challenges, performance and future strategy.
- . We deliver robust, pragmatic and timely financial statements and Value for Money audits
- We have an open, two way dialogue with clients that support improvements in arrangements and the audit process
- Feedback meetings tell us that our clients are pleased with the service we deliver. We are not complacent and will continue to improve further
- Our locally based, experienced teams have a commitment to both our clients and the wider public sector
- We are a Firm that specialises in Local Government, Health and Social Care, and Cross Sector working, with over 25 Key Audit Partners, the most public sector specialist Engagement Leads of any firm
- We have strong relationships with CIPFA, SOLACE, the Society of Treasurers, the Association of Directors of Adult Social Care and others.
- We propose a realistic fee, based on known local circumstances and requirements.

New opportunities and challenges for your community

The Local Government economy

The local government sector continues to face unprecedented challenges including:

- Financial Sustainability addressing funding gaps and balancing needs against resources
- Transformation new models of delivery, greater emphasis on partnerships, more focus on economic development. We have worked closely with you during your process to move towards one joint Council
- Technology cyber security and risk management

At a wider level, the political environment remains complex:

- The government continues its negotiation with the EU over Brexit and future arrangements
- We will consider your arrangements for managing and reporting your financial resources as part of our work in reaching our Value for Money conclusion.
- We will keep you informed of changes to the financial reporting requirements for 2018/19 through on-going discussions and invitations to our technical update workshops.

Delivering real value through:

- Early advice on technical accounting issues, providing certainty of accounting treatments, future financial planning implications and resulting in draft statements that are 'right first time'
- Knowledge and expertise in all matters local government, including local objections and challenge, where we have an unrivalled depth of expertise.
- Early engagement on issues, especially around key changes such as local government reorganisation, inter authority agreements, governance and financial reporting
- Implementation of our recommendations has resulted in improvements in your underlying arrangements, for example financial management, reporting and governance
- Robust but pragmatic challenge seeking early liaison on issues, and having the difficult
 conversations early to ensure a 'no surprises' approach always doing the right thing
- Providing regional training and networking opportunities for your teams on technical accounting issues and developments and changes to Annual Reporting requirements

Grant Thornton in Local Government

Our client base and delivery

- We are the largest supplier of external audit services to local government
- We audit over 150 local government clients
- We signed 95% of our local government opinions in 2017/18 by 31 July 2018
- In our latest independent client service review, we consistently score 9/10 or above. Clients value our strong interaction, our local knowledge and wealth of expertise.

Our connections

- We are well connected to MHCLG, the NAO and key local government networks
- We work with CIPFA, Think Tanks and legal firms to develop workshops and good practice
- We have a strong presence across all parts of local government including blue light services
- We provide thought leadership, seminars and training to support our clients and to provide solutions

Our people

- We have over 25 engagement leads accredited by ICAEW, and over 250 public sector specialists
- We provide technical and personal development training
- We employ over 80 Public Sector trainee accountants

Our quality

- Our audit approach complies with the NAO's Code of Audit Practice, and International Standards on Auditing
- We are fully compliant with ethical standards

Our technical support

- We have specialist leads for Public Sector Audit quality and technical
- We provide national technical guidance on emerging auditing, financial reporting and ethical areas
- Specialist audit software is used to deliver maximum efficiencies



© 2019 Grant Thornton UK LLP. All rights reserved.

'Grant Thornton' refers to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms provide assurance, tax and advisory services to their clients and/or refers to one or more member firms, as the context requires.

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. GTIL and each member firm is a separate legal entity. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL does not provide services to clients. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.