



West Monkton & Cheddon Fitzpaine Revised Neighbourhood Development Plan

PART A

Please complete Part A in full, in order for your representation to be taken into account at the Neighbourhood Development Plan examination. This information will not be made public and will only be used if we need to contact you.

Full Name	John Scanlon
Address	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone	[REDACTED]
Email	[REDACTED]
Organisation (if applicable)	
Position (if applicable)	
Date	15th December 2021

PART B

Please complete Part B to tell us what parts of the neighbourhood plan you wish to comment on. Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations at a later stage. Further submissions will be only at the request of the Independent Examiner, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies through the examination.

The majority of examinations are expected to be through written representations. However, should the Inspector decide there is a need for an oral examination, please state below whether you would like to participate.

- No, I do not wish to participate at an oral examination
- Yes, I wish to participate at an oral examination

Please note the Inspector will determine whether an oral examination is necessary. If an oral examination is require, please outline why you consider that your participation is necessary.

Which part of the Neighbourhood Development Plan document is your representation about?

Paragraph Number	37	Policy Reference:		Map	
------------------	----	-------------------	--	-----	--

Do you support, oppose, and/or wish to comment

- Support
- Support with modifications
- Oppose/Object
- Have Comments (about The Plan, Examination or Referenda)

Please give details of your reasons for support or opposition, or make other comments here: Please be as precise as possible

There is a paragraph added under the section on housing, I suspect this has been drafted by Rural Solutions and added verbatim in an attempt to create a loop hole within the document which would enable them to bypass the NP intent re housing development by using the close links already established with the Country memorial Park (referred to as a partnership by the developers). This close links have included a joint presentation, a proposed joint planning applications and country park management member in employ as project manager by developers.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to enable the plan to proceed, including any suggested revised wording of any policy or text, related to the objection you have raised. You will need to say why this change will enable the plan to proceed. Please be as precise as possible.

What improvements or modifications would you suggest?

If you have additional representations this form can be reproduced for each item. Please make sure any additional pages are clearly labelled and attached.