



## West Monkton & Cheddon Fitzpaine Revised Neighbourhood Development Plan

### PART A

Please complete Part A in full, in order for your representation to be taken into account at the Neighbourhood Development Plan examination. This information will not be made public and will only be used if we need to contact you.

<b>Full Name</b>	Mr Ronald Burnett
<b>Address</b>	[Redacted]
<b>Postcode</b>	[Redacted]
<b>Telephone</b>	[Redacted]
<b>Email</b>	[Redacted]
<b>Organisation (if applicable)</b>	
<b>Position (if applicable)</b>	
<b>Date</b>	17/12/2022

### PART B

Please complete Part B to tell us what parts of the neighbourhood plan you wish to comment on. Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations at a later stage. Further submissions will be only at the request of the Independent Examiner, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies through the examination.

The majority of examinations are expected to be through written representations. However, should the Inspector decide there is a need for an oral examination, please state below whether you would like to participate.

- No, I do not wish to participate at an oral examination
- Yes, I wish to participate at an oral examination

Please note the Inspector will determine whether an oral examination is necessary. If an oral examination is require, please outline why you consider that your participation is necessary.

**Which part of the Neighbourhood Development Plan document is your representation about?**

Paragraph Number	Page 31	Policy Reference:		Map	
------------------	---------	-------------------	--	-----	--

**Do you support, oppose, and/or wish to comment**

- Support
- Support with modifications
- Oppose/Object
- Have Comments (about The Plan, Examination or Referenda)

**Please give details of your reasons for support or opposition, or make other comments here: Please be as precise as possible**

I am very concerned as to the reason for adding a new paragraph on p 31 of the West Monkton and Cheddon Fitzpaine Neighbourhood Plan(NP). (page 37 of the pdf)

This paragraph is in the housing objectives section and it states In exceptional circumstances, proportionate new housing developments across the NP area may be supported . . . This addition is identical to a suggestion by a Yorkshire based company Rural Solutions (RS), who, I believe, specialise in obtaining planning permission in conservation areas and AONB and are employed /engaged by a local farm owner. Thousands of houses have already been built in the NP area, and thousands more are to be built in the near future. I feel this paragraph is inappropriate and hope it will be removed from the NP.

May I respectfully draw your attention to an event at the Country Park/Somerset Wood in July 2021. RS on behalf of Maidenbrook Country Park and Glebe Farm land owner Ben Ashton invited parish residents to view proposals for an expansion of the Country Park and associated development across adjacent Glebe Farm land holding. RS gave many people a large extensively documented and detailed book. Among the details in the book is a proposal to build 4 large housing developments on the farm land adjacent to the historic village of Cheddon Fitzpaine. This village is one of the historic settlements which on P36 of the NP (Vision and Objectives Section), it states During periods of growth, the historic settlements and surrounding countryside will be protected to maintain and enhance the locally distinctive characteristics of the area for future generations.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to enable the plan to proceed, including any suggested revised wording of any policy or text, related to the objection you have raised. You will need to say why this change will enable the plan to proceed. Please be as precise as possible.

**What improvements or modifications would you suggest?**

I suggest that the paragraph is removed, as it is ambiguous and apparently contradicts the existing policy.

If you have additional representations this form can be reproduced for each item. Please make sure any additional pages are clearly labelled and attached.